

We are sorry we made a mistake in calculating the value of NDCG in the originally published paper. The NDCG@5 results in Table 2, Table 3 and Table 4 are mistakenly calculated using a log of base e, while the correct results should be calculated using a log of base 2. All the NDCG@5 results in the paper should multiply $\log(2)/\log(e)=0.6931$ to get their correct values.